The effect of local heating and cooling processes on slow waves in the coronal

KU LEUVEN

OBJECTIVES

- 1. Model how slow waves are affected by their perturbation of local heating + cooling processes
- 2. Observe slow waves, which are replete in the solar corona
- 3. Determine change in phase speed + amplification/damping caused by dispersion
- 4. Infer locally the coronal heating function, assuming radiative losses + transport coefficients

RESULTS: SLOW WAVE OVERSTABILITY

In some cases the exchange of energy between the medium and the slow wave can lead to amplification i.e. **overstability**. For a non-zero β plasma, the isentropic instability criterion (see [1]) accounting for *both* thermal misbalance and thermal conduction is:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_2} - \frac{1}{\tau_1} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \frac{4\pi^2}{\tau_{\text{cond}}} < 0$$

If one parameterises the *local* heating function as a power-law $\mathcal{H} \propto \rho^a T^b B^c$ and estimates the radiative losses \mathcal{L} at (ρ, T) , then the criterion becomes

REFERENCES

- [1] G. B. Field. Thermal Instability. *ApJ.*, 142:531, aug 1965.
- [2] D. Zavershinskii, D. Kolotkov, V. Nakariakov, N. Molevich, and D. Ryashchikov. Formation of quasi-periodic slow magnetoacoustic wave trains by heating/cooling misbalance. *Phys. Plasmas*, 26(8):082113, aug 2019.
- [3] D. Y. Kolotkov, T. J. Duckenfield, and V. M. Nakariakov. Seismological constraints on the solar coronal heating function. Astron. Astrophys., 644, oct 2020.
- [4] B. Roberts and A. R. Webb. Vertical motions in an intense magnetic flux tube. Sol. Phys., 56(1):5–35, jan 1978.
- [5] T J Duckenfield, D Y Kolotkov, and V M Nakariakov. The effect of the magnetic field on the damping of slow waves in the solar corona. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 646:A155, feb 2021.







TIM DUCKENFIELD¹, DMITRII KOLOTKOV², VALERY NAKARIAKOV²

INTRODUCTION

The solar corona is delicately balanced at thermal equilibrium, by radiative losses and some heating mechanism [1]. Both the heating/cooling mechanisms vary with the plasma parameters $(\rho, T \text{ etc})$. Slow magnetoacoustic waves perturb these mechanisms enough to be themselves affected [2]. So by understanding this dispersion, we may one day use observations of slow waves as probes, letting us infer the mysterious coronal heating function [3].

$$b > \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\beta\gamma}{2}\right)c - a \right\} + \frac{T_0}{\mathcal{L}_0} \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial T}\right)_{T_0,\rho_0} \\ + \frac{C_V}{\tau_{\text{cond}}} \frac{T_0}{\mathcal{L}_0}.$$

The fact that slow waves are only seen to damp in the solar corona, and assuming $\beta = 0$, one may infer constraints on a, b. It was in this way that Kolotkov+ 2020[3] proposed one such heating model, $\mathcal{H} = \rho^{1/2} T^{-7/2} c^{\overline{0}}$ used for calculations of \mathcal{T}_{M} here. Of course, *c* should also be considered, particularly for large β plasma.

$$/_1 =$$

The dispersive effect of thermal misbalance on slow waves is found to be comparable to that by thermal conduction. For typical coronal conditions, slow waves are damped and \mathcal{T}_{M} matches observed slow wave periods + damping times.

Results: Insensitivity to $\mathcal{H}(B)$

One may expect coronal heating to vary with magnetic field strength, i.e. $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(B)$. Yet we find for sufficiently strong *B*, the damping of slow waves is *independent* of the heating/cooling func-



Magnetic field strength B [G]

(a) Typical cool loop exhibiting propagating 3 min oscillations [square].

Figure 2: Oscillation damping time/period as a function of magnetic field strength for different heating models. Each plot corresponds to different, common coronal slow mode parameters matching Fig. 1. Grey denotes $\beta > 1$.



Results: EFFECTS of Finite- β



(a) Plot of $\tau_{\rm M}$ at 100 G.

(b) Plot of $\tau_{\rm M}$ at 12 G.

Figure 1: Damping timescales due to thermal misbalance \mathcal{T}_{M} for the heating model $\mathcal{H} \propto \rho^{1/2} T^{-7/2} B^{0}$, as functions of ρ , T and for different magnetic field strengths. Green depicts observed slow wave periods + damping times, 10-100 min. Symbols correspond to typical coronal conditions; square=cool loop, star=plume, circle=flaring loop.

> This implies the importance of the effect of misbalance, and its historic exclusion as a damping mechanism may explain observed damping times for which viscosity + thermal conduction is insufficient.

tional dependence upon magnetic field. As a rule of thumb, the infinite magnetic field approximation is suitable in the corona for magnetic field strengths greater than ≈ 10 G.

(b) Typical cool plume with upwardly (c) Typical post-flare loop with standing modes, aka SUMER oscillations [circle]. propagating slow waves [star].

CONCLUSIONS

- duction.

(c) Plot of $\tau_{\rm M}$ at 4 G.

• Slow magnetoacoustic waves can perturb the coronal heating + cooling mechanisms enough to be able to infer the coronal heating's local power-law indices, if one can see a slow wave's damping, phase speed, radiative losses and plasma parameters.

• Slow wave damping by thermal misbalance is typically comparable to that by thermal con-

• For sufficiently strong magnetic strength, the slow wave dynamics is insensitive to any dependence of the heating function on *B*.

• Interested readers please see Duckenfield+ 2021 [5] + email tim.duckenfield@kuleuven.be for more information.